arXiv:1507.06497v1 [math.DG] 23 Jul 2015

Chern-Ricci invariance along G-geodesics

NEFTON PALI

Abstract

Over a compact oriented manifold, the space of Riemannian metrics and normalised positive volume forms admits a natural pseudo-Riemannian metric G, which is useful for the study of Perelman's $\mathcal{W}$ functional. We show that if the initial speed of a G-geodesic is G-orthogonal to the tangent space to the orbit of the initial point, under the action of the diffeomorphism group, then this property is preserved along all points of the G-geodesic. We show also that this property implies preservation of the Chern-Ricci form along such G-geodesics, under the extra assumption of complex aniti-invariant initial metric variation. This result is useful for a slice type theorem needed for the proof of the dynamical stability of the Soliton-Kähler-Ricci flow.

1 Statement of the invariance result

We consider the space $\mathcal{M}$ of smooth Riemannian metrics over a compact oriented manifold X. We denote by $\mathcal{V}_1$ the space of positive smooth volume forms with integral one. Notice that the tangent space of $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{V}_1$ is

$$T_{\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{V}_1} = C^\infty(X, S^2 T_X^*) \oplus C^\infty(X, \Lambda^m T_X^*)_0,$$

where

$$C^\infty(X, \Lambda^m T_X^*)_0 := \left\{ V \in C^\infty(X, \Lambda^m T_X^*) \mid \int_X V = 0 \right\}.$$

We denote by $\mathrm{End}_g(T_X)$ the bundle of g-symmetric endomorphisms of T_X and by $C_\Omega^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})_0$ the space of smooth functions with zero integral with respect to Ω. We will use the fact that for any $(g, \Omega) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{V}_1$ the tangent space $T_{\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{V}_1,(g,\Omega)}$ identifies with $C^\infty(X, \mathrm{End}_g(T_X)) \oplus C_\Omega^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})_0$ via the isomorphism

$$(v, V) \quad \longmapsto \quad \left(v_g^*, V_\Omega^*\right) := \left(g^{-1} v, V/\Omega\right).$$

In [Pal6], we consider the pseudo-Riemannian metric G over $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{V}_1$, defined over any point $(g, \Omega) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{V}_1$ by the formula

$$G_{g,\Omega}(u, U; v, V) \quad = \quad \int_X \left[\langle u, v \rangle_g - 2 U_\Omega^* V_\Omega^* \right] \Omega,$$

for all $(u,U),(v,V)\in T_{\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{V}_1}$. The gradient flow of Perelman's $\mathcal{W}$-functional with respect to the structure G is a modification of the Ricci flow with relevant properties (see [Pal6]). The G-geodesics exists only for short time intervals $(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$. This is because the G-geodesics are uniquely determined by the evolution of the volume forms and the latter degenerate in finite time (see section 2). In [Pal6], we show that the space G-ortogonal to the tangent of the orbit of a point $(g,\Omega)\in\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{V}_1$, under the action of the identity component of the diffeomorphism group is

$$\mathbb{F}_{g,\Omega} \quad := \quad \left\{(v,V)\in T_{\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{V}_1}\mid \nabla_g^{*_\Omega}v_g^*+\nabla_g V_\Omega^*=0\right\}.$$

In this paper we show the following conservative property.

Proposition 1 *Let* $(g_t,\Omega_t)_{t\in(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}\subset\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{V}_1$ *be a G-geodesic such that* $(\dot g_0,\dot\Omega_0)\in\mathbb{F}_{g_0,\Omega_0}$. *Then* $(\dot g_t,\dot\Omega_t)\in\mathbb{F}_{g_t,\Omega_t}$ *for all* $t\in(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$.

We consider now a compact symplectic manifold (X,ω) and we denote by $\mathcal{J}_\omega^{\mathrm{ac}}$ the space of smooth almost complex structures compatible with the symplectic form ω. We notice that the variations inside the space of metrics $\mathcal{M}_\omega^{\mathrm{ac}}:=-\omega\cdot\mathcal{J}_\omega^{\mathrm{ac}}\subset\mathcal{M}$, at a point $g=-\omega J$, are J-anti-invariant. Thus, in this set-up, it is natural to consider the sub-space

$$\mathbb{F}_{g,\Omega}^J \quad := \quad \Big\{(v,V)\in\mathbb{F}_{g,\Omega}\mid v=-J^*vJ\Big\}.$$

With these notations we state the following result.

Theorem 1 (***Main result. The invariance of the Chern-Ricci form***).
Let (X,J_0,g_0) *ba a compact almost-Kähler manifold with symplectic form* $\omega:=g_0J_0$, *let* $\Omega_0\in\mathcal{V}_1$ *and set* $\rho=\mathrm{Ric}_{_{J_0}}(\Omega_0)$. *Then for any G-geodesic* $(g_t,\Omega_t)_{t\in(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}\subset\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{V}_1$, *with initial speed* $(\dot g_0,\dot\Omega_0)\in\mathbb{F}_{g_0,\Omega_0}^{J_0}$ *holds the properties* $J_t:=-\omega^{-1}g_t\in\mathcal{J}_\omega^{\mathrm{ac}}$, $(\dot g_t,\dot\Omega_t)\in\mathbb{F}_{g_t,\Omega_t}^{J_t}$ *and* $\rho=\mathrm{Ric}_{_{J_t}}(\Omega_t)$, *for all* $t\in(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$.

Our unique interest in this result concerns the Fano case $\omega=\rho$. In this case the space of ω-compatible complex (integrable) structures $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ embeds naturally inside $\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{V}_1$ via the Chern-Ricci form. (This is possible thanks to the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-lemma). The image of this embedding is

$$\mathcal{S}_\omega \quad := \quad \left\{(g,\Omega)\in\mathcal{M}_\omega\times\mathcal{V}_1\mid \omega=\mathrm{Ric}_J(\Omega),\, J=-\omega^{-1}g\right\},$$

with $\mathcal{M}_\omega:=-\omega\cdot\mathcal{J}_\omega\subset\mathcal{M}$. It is well-known that the J-anti-linear endomorphism sections associated to the metric-variations in $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ at a point $g=-\omega J$, are $\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}}$-closed. Thus, in the integrable set-up, it is natural to consider the sub-space

$$\mathbb{F}_{g,\Omega}^J[0] \quad := \quad \Big\{(v,V)\in\mathbb{F}_{g,\Omega}^J\mid \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}}v_g^*=0\Big\}.$$

It has been showed in [Pal6] that this is the space G-orthogonal to the tangent to the orbit of the point $(g,\Omega)\in\mathcal{S}_\omega$, under the action of the identity component of the ω-symplectomorphism group. (See the identity 1.14 in [Pal6]). Furthermore the product $G_{g,\Omega}$ is positive over $\mathbb{F}^J_{g,\Omega}[0]$, thanks to a result in [Pal6]. We conjecture the following slice type result.

Conjecture 1 *Let (X, J) be a Fano manifold, which is complex deformation un-ubstracted and let $\omega \in 2\pi c_1(X)$ be a Kähler form. Then the distribution $(g, \Omega) \in \mathcal{S}_\omega \longmapsto \mathbb{F}^J_{g,\Omega}[0]$, with $J := -\omega^{-1}g$ is integrable over the space $\mathcal{S}_\omega$, with local leave $\Sigma^\omega_{g,\Omega} := \{(\gamma, \mu) \in \operatorname{Exp}_G\left(\mathbb{F}^J_{g,\Omega}\right) \mid \nabla_\gamma \omega = 0\}$ at the point (g, Ω).*

In view of the results in section 9 of [Pal6], the solution of this conjecture is crucial for the proof of the dynamical stability of the Soliton-Kähler-Ricci flow [Pal8].

2 Pure evolving volume nature of the G-geodesic equation

We remind that the equation of a G-geodesic $(g_t, \Omega_t)_{t\in(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}$, (see [Pal6]), rewrites under the form

$$(S)\left\{\begin{array}{l} \frac{d}{dt}\dot{g}^*_t + \dot{\Omega}^*_t \dot{g}^*_t = 0, \\ \\ \ddot{\Omega}_t + \frac{1}{4}\left\{|\dot{g}_t|^2_{g_t} - 2(\dot{\Omega}^*_t)^2 - \int_X \left[|\dot{g}_t|^2_{g_t} - 2(\dot{\Omega}^*_t)^2\right]\Omega_t\right\}\Omega_t = 0. \end{array}\right.$$

The invariance of the scalar product of the speed of geodesics implies

$$G_t := G_{g_t,\Omega_t}(\dot{g}_t, \dot{\Omega}_t; \dot{g}_t, \dot{\Omega}_t) \equiv G_{g_0,\Omega_0}(\dot{g}_0, \dot{\Omega}_0; \dot{g}_0, \dot{\Omega}_0).$$

Therefore a solution of the system (S) satisfies also

$$(S_1)\left\{\begin{array}{l} \frac{d}{dt}\dot{g}^*_t + \dot{\Omega}^*_t \dot{g}^*_t = 0, \\ \\ \ddot{\Omega}_t + \frac{1}{4}\left[|\dot{g}_t|^2_{g_t} - 2(\dot{\Omega}^*_t)^2 - G_0\right]\Omega_t = 0. \end{array}\right.$$

The first equation in the system (S_1) rewrites as

$$\dot{g}^*_t = \frac{\Omega_0}{\Omega_t}\dot{g}^*_0,$$

which provides the expression

$$g_t = g_0 \exp\left(\dot{g}^*_0 \int_0^t \frac{\Omega_0}{\Omega_s} ds\right).$$

We set $u_t := \Omega_t/\Omega_0$, and we observe the trivial identities

$$\begin{aligned} |\dot{g}_t|^2_{g_t} &= \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\dot{g}^*_t\right)^2 = u_t^{-2}\left|\dot{g}_0\right|^2_{g_0}, \\ \\ \dot{\Omega}^*_t &= \dot{u}_t/u_t. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that the system (S_1) is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{cases} g_t = g_0 \exp\left(\dot{g}_0^* \int_0^t u_s^{-1} ds\right), \\ \\ \Omega_t = u_t \Omega_0, \\ \\ 4\ddot{u}_t + \frac{|\dot{g}_0|^2_{g_0} - 2\dot{u}_t^2}{u_t} - u_t G_0 = 0, \\ \\ u_0 = 1, \\ \\ \int_X \dot{u}_0 \Omega_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

The solution u is given by the explicit formula

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= 1 + \dot{u}_0 \sum_{k \geqslant 0} \frac{(G_0/2)^k}{(2k+1)!} t^{2k+1} - \frac{1}{4} \underline{N_0} \sum_{k \geqslant 1} \frac{(G_0/2)^{k-1}}{(2k)!} t^{2k}, \\ \underline{N_0} &:= N_0 - G_0, \\ N_0 &:= |\dot{g}_0|^2_{g_0} - 2(\dot{\Omega}_0^*)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the solution $(g_t, \Omega_t)_{t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)}$ of the system (S_1) satisfies $\int_X \Omega_t \equiv 1$. This implies $G_t \equiv G_0$. We infer that the system (S_1) is equivalent to the system (S).

In the case $G_0 > 0$, the previous formula for u_t reduces to the expression

$$u_t = 1 + \dot{\Omega}_0^* \gamma_0^{-1} \sinh(\gamma_0 t) - \underline{N_0}\, (2\gamma_0)^{-2} [\cosh(\gamma_0 t) - 1],$$

with $\gamma_0 := (G_0/2)^{1/2}$.

3 Conservative properties along G-geodesics

In this section we show proposition 1.

Proof We remind first the fundamental variation formula

$$2\left[\left(D_{g,\Omega} \nabla^{*\bullet}_{\bullet}\right)(v, V)\right] v_g^* = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_g |v|_g^2 - 2 v_g^* \cdot \left(\nabla_g^{*\Omega} v_g^* + \nabla_g V_\Omega^*\right), \tag{3.1}$$

obtained in [Pal7], (see the formula 19 in [Pal7]). Using (3.1) we develop the derivative

$$\begin{aligned} 2\frac{d}{dt}\left(\nabla_{g_t}^{*\Omega_t} \dot{g}_t^* + \nabla_{g_t} \dot{\Omega}_t^*\right) &= -2\dot{g}_t^* \cdot \left(\nabla_{g_t}^{*\Omega_t} \dot{g}_t^* + \nabla_{g_t} \dot{\Omega}_t^*\right) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{g_t} |\dot{g}_t|^2 \\ &+ 2\nabla_{g_t}^{*\Omega_t} \frac{d}{dt} \dot{g}_t^* + 2\nabla_{g_t} \frac{d}{dt} \dot{\Omega}_t^* - 2\dot{g}_t^* \cdot \nabla_{g_t} \dot{\Omega}_t^*. \end{aligned}$$

Writing the equations defining the G-geodesic $(g_t, \Omega_t)_{t\in(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}$, under the form

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{d}{dt}\dot{g}_t^* + \dot{\Omega}_t^* \dot{g}_t^* = 0, \\ \\ 2\frac{d}{dt}\dot{\Omega}_t^* + (\dot{\Omega}_t^*)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left|\dot{g}_t\right|_{g_t}^2 - \frac{1}{2}G_{g_t,\Omega_t}(\dot{g}_t, \dot{\Omega}_t; \dot{g}_t, \dot{\Omega}_t) = 0, \end{array} \right.$$

we infer

$$\begin{aligned} 2\frac{d}{dt}\left(\nabla_{g_t}^{*\Omega_t}\dot{g}_t^* + \nabla_{g_t}\dot{\Omega}_t^*\right) &= -2\dot{g}_t^* \cdot \left(\nabla_{g_t}^{*\Omega_t}\dot{g}_t^* + \nabla_{g_t}\dot{\Omega}_t^*\right) \\ &- 2\nabla_{g_t}^{*\Omega_t}\left(\dot{\Omega}_t^*\dot{g}_t^*\right) - \nabla_{g_t}\left(\dot{\Omega}_t^*\right)^2 - 2\dot{g}_t^* \cdot \nabla_{g_t}\dot{\Omega}_t^*, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$2\frac{d}{dt}\left(\nabla_{g_t}^{*\Omega_t}\dot{g}_t^* + \nabla_{g_t}\dot{\Omega}_t^*\right) = -2\left(\dot{g}_t^* + \dot{\Omega}_t^*\mathbb{I}\right) \cdot \left(\nabla_{g_t}^{*\Omega_t}\dot{g}_t^* + \nabla_{g_t}\dot{\Omega}_t^*\right).$$

Then the conclusion follows by Cauchy's uniqueness. □

Let $\mathcal{J} \subset C^\infty(X, \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(T_X))$ be the set of smooth almost complex structures over X. For any non degenerate 2-form ω over a symplectic manifold, we define the space $\mathcal{J}_\omega^{\mathrm{ac}}$ of ω-compatible almost complex structures as

$$\mathcal{J}_\omega^{\mathrm{ac}} \quad := \quad \{J \in \mathcal{J} \mid -\omega J \in \mathcal{M}\}.$$

With thess notations hold the follwing result.

Lemma 1 *Let* $J_0 \in \mathcal{J}_\omega^{\mathrm{ac}}$ *and let* $(g_t, \Omega_t)_{t\in(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)} \subset \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{V}_1$ *be a* G*-geodesic such that* $g_0 = -\omega J_0$ *and* $\dot{g}_0^* J_0 = -J_0 \dot{g}_0^*$*. Then* $J_t := -\omega^{-1} g_t \in \mathcal{J}_\omega^{\mathrm{ac}}$*, for all* $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$*.*

Proof Using the identity $\dot{J}_t = J_t \dot{g}_t^*$ and the G-geodesic equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\dot{g}_t^* + \dot{\Omega}_t^* \dot{g}_t^* \quad = \quad 0,$$

we obtain the variation formula

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(J_t\dot{g}_t^* + \dot{g}_t^* J_t\right) \quad = \quad \left(J_t\dot{g}_t^* + \dot{g}_t^* J_t\right) \cdot \left(\dot{g}_t^* - \dot{\Omega}_t^*\mathbb{I}\right).$$

This implies $\dot{g}_t^* J_t = -J_t \dot{g}_t^*$, for all $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, by Cauchy's uniqueness. We deduce in particular the evolution identity $2\dot{J}_t = [J_t, \dot{g}_t^*]$. Then $J_t^2 = -\mathbb{I}_{T_X}$, thanks to lemma 4 in [Pal3]. We infer the required conclusion. □

4 The first variation of the Ω-Chern-Ricci form

Let (X, J) be an almost complex manifold. Any volume form $\Omega > 0$ induces a hermitian metric h_Ω over the canonical bundle $K_{X,J} := \Lambda_J^{n,0} T_X^*$, which is given by the formula

$$h_\Omega(\alpha, \beta) := \frac{n! i^{n^2} \alpha \wedge \overline{\beta}}{\Omega}.$$

We define the Ω-Chern-Ricci form

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{_J}(\Omega) := -i \mathcal{C}_{h_\Omega}(K_{X,J}),$$

where $\mathcal{C}_h(F)$ denotes the Chern curvature of a hermitian vector bundle $(F, \overline{\partial}_F, h)$, equipped with a $(0,1)$-type connection. Consider also a J-invariant hermitian metric ω over X. We remind that the ω-Chern-Ricci form is defined by the formula

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{_J}(\omega) := \operatorname{Tr}_{_\mathbb{C}}\left[J \mathcal{C}_\omega(T_{X,J})\right].$$

The fact that the metric h_{ω^n} over $K_{X,J}$ is induced by the metric ω over $T_{X,J}$ implies, by natural functorial properties, the identity $\operatorname{Ric}_{_J}(\omega) = \operatorname{Ric}_{_J}(\omega^n)$. Let now

$$\mathcal{KS} := \Big\{(J, g) \in \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{M} \mid g = J^* g J,\ \nabla_g J = 0\Big\},$$

be the space of Kähler structures over a compact manifold X. We remind that if $A \in \operatorname{End}_\mathbb{R}(T_X)$, then its transposed A_g^T with respect to g is given by $A_g^T = g^{-1} A^* g$. We observe that the compatibility condition $g = J^* g J$, is equivalent to the condition $J_g^T = -J$. We define also the space of almost Kähler structures as

$$\mathcal{AKS} := \Big\{(J, g) \in \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{M} \mid g = J^* g J, d(gJ) = 0\Big\}.$$

With these notations hold the following first variation formula for the Ω-Chern-Ricci form. (Compare with [Pal5]).

Proposition 2 *Let $(J_t, g_t)_t \subset \mathcal{AKS}$ and $(\Omega_t)_t \subset \mathcal{V}$ be two smooth paths such that $\dot{J}_t = (\dot{J}_t)_{g_t}^T$. Then hold the first variation formula*

$$2 \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Ric}_{_{J_t}}(\Omega_t) = L_{\nabla_{g_t}^{*_{\Omega_t}}(J_t \dot{J}_t) - \nabla_{g_t} \dot{\Omega}_t^*} \omega_t, \tag{4.1}$$

with $\omega_t = g_t J_t$.

Proof **STEP I. Local expressions**. We consider first the case of constant volume form Ω. We remind a general basic identity. Let $(L, \overline{\partial}_L, h)$ be a hermitian line bundle, equipped with a $(0,1)$-type connection, over an almost complex manifold (X, J) and let $D_{L,h} = \partial_{L,h} + \overline{\partial}_L$ be the induced Chern connection. In explicit terms $\partial_{L,h} := h^{-1}\cdot\partial_{\overline{L^*}}\cdot h$. We observe that for any local non-vanishing section $\sigma \in C^\infty(U, L\smallsetminus 0)$ over an open set $U \subset X$, holds the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{-1}\partial_{L,h}\sigma(\eta) &= |\sigma|_h^{-2} h\big(\partial_{L,h}\sigma(\eta),\sigma\big)\\
&= |\sigma|_h^{-2}\Big[\eta_J^{1,0}.|\sigma|_h^2 - h\big(\sigma,\overline{\partial}_L\sigma(\overline{\eta})\big)\Big)\big]\\
&= \eta_J^{1,0}.\log|\sigma|_h^2 - \overline{\sigma^{-1}\overline{\partial}_L\sigma(\overline{\eta})},
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\eta \in T_X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$. We infer the formula

$$i\sigma^{-1}D_{L,h}\sigma \;=\; i\partial_{J}\log|\sigma|_h^2 + 2\Re e\big(i\sigma^{-1}\overline{\partial}_L\sigma\big)\,.$$

In the case $L = K_{X,J_t} := \Lambda^{n,0}_{J_t}T^*_X$ and $h \equiv h_\Omega$ we get for all

$$\beta_t = \beta^{1,0}_{1,t}\wedge\ldots\wedge\beta^{1,0}_{n,t} \in C^\infty\left(U, K_{X,J_t}\smallsetminus 0\right),$$

with $\beta^{1,0}_{r,t} := \beta^{1,0}_{r,J_t}$, $\beta_r \in C^\infty\left(U, T^*_X\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}\right)$, $r = 1,\ldots,n$, the formula for the 1-form α_t,

$$\alpha_t := i\beta_t^{-1}D_{K_{X,J_t},h_\Omega}\beta_t = i\partial_{J_t}\log\frac{i^{n^2}\beta_t\wedge\bar{\beta}_t}{\Omega} + 2\Re e\left(i\beta_t^{-1}\overline{\partial}_{K_{X,J_t}}\beta_t\right).$$

We also notice the local expression $\operatorname{Ric}_{J_t}(\Omega) = -i\mathcal{C}_{h_\Omega}\left(K_{X,J_t}\right) = -d\alpha_t$. In order to expand the time derivative of the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_t(\eta) &= i\eta^{1,0}_{J_t}.\log\frac{i^{n^2}\beta_t\wedge\bar{\beta}_t}{\Omega}\\
&+ 2\Re e\left[i\beta_t^{-1}\sum_{r=1}^n\beta^{1,0}_{1,t}\wedge\ldots\wedge\left(\eta^{0,1}_{J_t}\neg\overline{\partial}_{J_t}\beta^{1,0}_{r,t}\right)\wedge\ldots\wedge\beta^{1,0}_{n,t}\right],
\end{aligned}$$

we observe first the formula

$$2\frac{d}{dt}\left(\overline{\partial}_{J_t}\beta^{1,0}_{J_t}\right) = J_t\dot{J}_t\neg\left[\left(d-2\overline{\partial}_{J_t}\right)\beta^{1,0}_{J_t}\right] - i\left[d\left(\beta\cdot\dot{J}_t\right)\right]^{1,1}_{J_t}. \tag{4.2}$$

We notice indeed that for bidegree reasons holds the identity

$$2\overline{\partial}_{J_t}\beta^{1,0}_{J_t} \;=\; 2\left(d\beta^{1,0}_{J_t}\right)^{1,1}_{J_t} = d\beta^{1,0}_{J_t} + J_t^*d\beta^{1,0}_{J_t}J_t.$$

Then time deriving the latter we infer the required formula (4.2).

STEP II. Local choices. We fix an arbitrary time τ. We want to compute the time derivative $\dot{\alpha}_\tau(\eta)$. We take the open set $U\subset X$ relatively compact. Then for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, the bundle map

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_t := \det_{\mathbb{C}}\pi^{1,0}_{J_t} : \Lambda^{n,0}_{J_\tau}T^*_U &\longrightarrow \Lambda^{n,0}_{J_t}T^*_U\\
\beta_1\wedge\ldots\wedge\beta_n &\longmapsto \beta_t := \beta^{1,0}_{1,t}\wedge\ldots\wedge\beta^{1,0}_{n,t}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism for all $t \in (\tau - \varepsilon, \tau + \varepsilon)$. We set for notations simplicity $D_t := D_{K_{X,J_t},h_\Omega}$. We consider also the connection $D_{\varphi_t} := \varphi_t^* D_t$ over the bundle $\Lambda^{n,0}_{J_\tau} T^*_U$. Explicitely $D_{\varphi_t}\beta = \varphi_t^{-1} D_t \beta_t$. Then the expression $D_t \beta_t = \alpha_t \otimes \beta_t$, implies $D_{\varphi_t}\beta = \alpha_t \otimes \beta$. We deduce that

$$\dot{\alpha}_t \;\; = \;\; \frac{d}{dt} D_{\varphi_t},$$

is indipendent of the choice of β. We want to compute $\dot{\alpha}_\tau$ at an arbitrary point $p \in U$.

STEP IIa. The Kähler case. (We consider first this case since is drastically simpler). Let ∇_{g_τ} be the Levi-Civita connection of g_τ. Using parallel transport and the Kähler assumption $\nabla_{g_\tau} J_\tau = 0$, we can construct (up to shrinking U arround p), a frame $(\beta_r)_{r=1}^n \subset C^\infty(U, \Lambda^{1,0}_{J_\tau} T^*_U)$, satisfying $\nabla_{g_\tau} \beta_r\,(p) = 0$, for all $r = 1, \dots, n$, and the identity

$$\omega_\tau = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{r=1}^n \beta_r \wedge \bar{\beta}_r,$$

over U. Then $dV_{g_\tau} = 2^{-n} i^{n^2} \beta_\tau \wedge \bar{\beta}_\tau$. We set now $f_\tau := \log \frac{dV_{g_\tau}}{\Omega}$. The identity $d\beta_r = \operatorname{Alt} \nabla_{g_\tau} \beta_r$, implies $d\beta_r\,(p) = 0$. Then formula (4.2) implies the identity at the point p,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=\tau} \left(\overline{\partial}_{J_t} \beta^{1,0}_{r,t} \right) &= -i\beta_r \left(\nabla_{T_X, g_\tau} \dot{J}_\tau \right)^{1,1}_{J_\tau} \\ &= -i\beta_r \operatorname{Alt} \left(\nabla^{1,0}_{g_\tau, J_\tau} \dot{J}_\tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

(The last equality follows from the Kähler assumption). We deduce

$$\eta^{0,1}_{J_\tau} \neg 2 \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=\tau} \left(\overline{\partial}_{J_t} \beta^{1,0}_{r,t} \right) \;\; = \;\; i\beta_r \nabla^{1,0}_{g_\tau, J_\tau} \dot{J}_\tau \cdot \eta^{0,1}_{J_\tau} \; = \; i\beta_r \nabla^{1,0}_{g_\tau, J_\tau} \dot{J}_\tau \cdot \eta,$$

at the point p. (The last equality follows also from the Kähler assumption). Using this last identity we obtain the expression at the point p,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\alpha}_\tau(\eta) &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{J}_\tau \eta . f_\tau + \eta^{1,0}_{J_\tau} . \Re e \left(\beta_\tau^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^n \beta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \left(\beta_r \dot{J}_\tau \right)^{1,0}_{J_\tau} \wedge \ldots \wedge \beta_n \right) \\ &- \Re e \left(\beta_\tau^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^n \beta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \beta_r \nabla^{1,0}_{g_\tau, J_\tau} \dot{J}_\tau \cdot \eta \wedge \ldots \wedge \beta_n \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla_{g_\tau} \dot{J}_\tau - df_\tau \cdot \dot{J}_\tau \right)(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

thanks to the elementary identities $(\beta_r \dot{J}_\tau)^{1,0}_{J_\tau} = 0$, $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}} A = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}} A^*$, and $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}} B = 2\Re e \left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}} B^{1,0}_J \right)$, for all $B \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(T_X)$. Using now the symmetry identities $\dot{J}_\tau = (\dot{J}_\tau)^T_{g_\tau}$ and $\nabla_{g_\tau, \xi} \dot{J}_\tau = (\nabla_{g_\tau, \xi} \dot{J}_\tau)^T_{g_\tau}$, we obtain

$$2\dot{\alpha}_\tau \;\; = \;\; \nabla^{*\Omega}_{g_\tau} \dot{J}_\tau \neg g_\tau,$$

over U. We conclude, thanks to the Kähler condition and Cartan's identity, the required formula for arbitrary time t, in the case of constant volume form.

STEP IIb. The almost Kähler case. We remind first that in this case holds the classical identity

$$g\left(\nabla_{g,\xi} J \cdot \eta, \mu\right) = -2 g\left(J\xi, N_{J}\left(\eta, \mu\right)\right), \tag{4.3}$$

where N_{J} is the Nijenhuis tensor, defined by the formula

$$4 N_{J}\left(\xi, \eta\right) \ := \ [\xi, \eta] + J[\xi, J\eta] + J[J\xi, \eta] - [J\xi, J\eta].$$

The identity (4.3) combined with the identity $N_{J}\left(J\eta, \mu\right) = -J N_{J}\left(\eta, \mu\right)$, implies

$$\nabla_{g,J\xi} J = -J \nabla_{g,\xi} J. \tag{4.4}$$

We consider also the Chern connection $D^{\omega}_{\Lambda^{1,0}_J T^*_X}$ of the complex vector bundle $\Lambda^{1,0}_J T^*_X$ with respect to the hermitian product

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{\omega} \ := \ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{\omega}\left(i\alpha \wedge \bar{\beta}\right).$$

This connection is obviously the dual of the Chern connection $D^{\omega_\tau}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}}$ of the hermitian vector bundle $(T^{1,0}_{X,J}, \omega)$. We denote by $D^{\omega}_{T_{X,J}}$ the Chern connection of $(T_{X,J}, \omega)$. By abuse of notations, we denote with the same symbol its complex linear extension over $T_X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. The latter satisfies the formula

$$D^{\omega}_{T_{X,J}} \xi \ = \ D^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \xi^{1,0}_{J} + \overline{D^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \overline{\xi^{0,1}_{J}}}, \qquad \forall \xi \in C^{\infty}\left(X, T_X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right).$$

In the almost Kähler case, $D^{\omega}_{T_{X,J}}$ is related to the Levi-Civita connection ∇_g (see [Pal2] and use identity (4.3)), via the formula

$$D^{\omega}_{T_{X,J},\xi} \eta \ = \ \nabla_{g,\xi} \eta - \frac{1}{2} J \nabla_{g,\xi} J \cdot \eta, \tag{4.5}$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in C^{\infty}\left(X, T_X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)$. Thus

$$D^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \xi^{1,0}_{J} \ = \ \nabla_g \xi^{1,0}_{J} - \frac{1}{2} J \nabla_g J \cdot \xi^{1,0}_{J},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\omega}_{\Lambda^{1,0}_J T^*_X} \beta^{1,0}_{J} \cdot \xi^{1,0}_{J} \ &= \ \nabla_g \beta^{1,0}_{J} \cdot \xi^{1,0}_{J} + \frac{1}{2} i \beta^{1,0}_{J} \cdot \nabla_g J \cdot \xi^{1,0}_{J} \\ &= \ \nabla_g \beta^{1,0}_{J} \cdot \xi^{1,0}_{J}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\nabla_g J \cdot J = -J \nabla_g J$. We apply now these considerations to the almost Kähler structure (J_τ, g_τ). Using parallel transport, we can construct a complex frame $(\beta_r)_{r=1}^{n} \subset C^{\infty}(U, \Lambda^{1,0}_{J_\tau} T^*_U)$, satisfying $D^{\omega_\tau}_{\Lambda^{1,0}_{J_\tau} T^*_X} \beta_r\left(p\right) = 0$, for all $r = 1, \ldots, n$, and the identity

$$\omega_\tau = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \beta_r \wedge \bar{\beta}_r,$$

over U. Then as before, holds the identity $dV_{g_\tau} = 2^{-n} i^{n^2} \beta_\tau \wedge \bar{\beta}_\tau$. We infer

$$\overline{\partial}_{J_\tau} \beta_r \,(p) = 0, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\left(\nabla_{g_\tau} \beta_r \cdot \xi^{1,0}_{J_\tau}\right)(p) = 0, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\partial_{J_\tau} \beta_r \,(p) = 0, \tag{4.8}$$

for all $r = 1, \dots, n$. The last one follows indeed from the elementary identities

$$\partial_{J_\tau} \beta_r \,(\xi, \eta) \;=\; d\beta_r \,(\xi, \eta) \;=\; \nabla_{g_\tau, \xi} \beta_r \cdot \eta - \nabla_{g_\tau, \eta} \beta_r \cdot \xi,$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in C^\infty(X, T^{1,0}_{X, J_\tau})$. We observe now that formula (4.2) writes as

$$2 \frac{d}{dt} \left(\overline{\partial}_{J_t} \beta^{1,0}_{J_t}\right) = J_t \dot{J}_t \neg \left[\left(\partial_{J_t} - \overline{\partial}_{J_t}\right) \beta^{1,0}_{J_t} + \beta^{1,0}_{J_t} \cdot N_{J_t}\right] - i \left[d\left(\beta \cdot \dot{J}_t\right)\right]^{1,1}_{J_t}.$$

Thus at time the point p holds the identity

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=\tau} \left(\overline{\partial}_{J_t} \beta^{1,0}_{r,t}\right) \left(\eta^{0,1}_{J_\tau}, \mu\right) &= \beta_r \cdot N_{J_\tau} \left(\eta^{0,1}_{J_\tau}, J_\tau \dot{J}_\tau \mu\right) \\ &- i \left[d\left(\beta_r \cdot \dot{J}_\tau\right)\right]^{1,1}_{J_\tau} \left(\eta^{0,1}_{J_\tau}, \mu\right) \\ &= -i\, \beta_r \cdot N_{J_\tau} \left(\eta, \dot{J}_\tau \mu\right) \\ &- i\, d\left(\beta_r \cdot \dot{J}_\tau\right) \left(\eta^{0,1}_{J_\tau}, \mu^{1,0}_{J_\tau}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We set for notations simplicity $\eta^{0,1}_\tau := \eta^{0,1}_{J_\tau}$, $\mu^{1,0}_\tau := \mu^{1,0}_{J_\tau}$ and we observe the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\beta_r \cdot \dot{J}_\tau\right) \left(\eta^{0,1}_\tau, \mu^{1,0}_\tau\right) &= \nabla_{g_\tau, \eta^{0,1}_\tau} \beta_r \cdot \dot{J}_\tau \mu^{1,0}_\tau + \beta_r \cdot \nabla_{g_\tau, \eta^{0,1}_\tau} \dot{J}_\tau \cdot \mu^{1,0}_\tau \\ &- \nabla_{g_\tau, \mu^{1,0}_\tau} \beta_r \cdot \dot{J}_\tau \eta^{0,1}_\tau - \beta_r \cdot \nabla_{g_\tau, \mu^{1,0}_\tau} \dot{J}_\tau \cdot \eta^{0,1}_\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We notice also the trivial identity $\beta_r \cdot \dot{J}_\tau \mu^{1,0}_\tau = \beta_r \cdot (\dot{J}_\tau \mu)^{0,1}_{J_\tau} = 0$, over U. Taking a covariant derivative of this we infer

$$0 \;=\; \nabla_{g_\tau, \eta^{0,1}_\tau} \beta_r \cdot \dot{J}_\tau \mu^{1,0}_\tau + \beta_r \cdot \nabla_{g_\tau, \eta^{0,1}_\tau} \dot{J}_\tau \cdot \mu^{1,0}_\tau + \beta_r \cdot \dot{J}_\tau \nabla_{g_\tau, \eta^{0,1}_\tau} \mu^{1,0}_\tau.$$

The identity (4.4) implies

$$\nabla_{g_\tau, \eta^{0,1}_\tau} \mu^{1,0}_\tau \;=\; \left(\nabla_{g_\tau, \eta^{0,1}_\tau} \mu - \frac{i}{2} \nabla_{g_\tau, \eta} J \cdot \mu\right)^{1,0}_{J_\tau}.$$

Thus

$$\beta_r \cdot \dot{J}_\tau \nabla_{g_\tau, \eta^{0,1}_\tau} \mu_\tau \;=\; \beta_r \cdot \left[\dot{J}_\tau \left(\nabla_{g_\tau, \eta^{0,1}_\tau} \mu - \frac{i}{2} \nabla_{g_\tau, \eta} J \cdot \mu\right)\right]^{0,1}_{J_\tau} \;=\; 0,$$

and

$$d\left(\beta_r\cdot\dot J_\tau\right)\left(\eta^{0,1}_\tau,\mu^{1,0}_\tau\right) \;=\; -\beta_r\cdot\nabla_{g_\tau,\mu^{1,0}_\tau}\dot J_\tau\cdot\eta^{0,1}_\tau, \tag{4.9}$$

at the point p, since

$$\nabla_{g_\tau,\mu^{1,0}_\tau}\beta_r\cdot\dot J_\tau\eta^{0,1}_\tau \;=\; \nabla_{g_\tau,\mu^{1,0}_\tau}\beta_r\cdot\left(\dot J_\tau\eta\right)^{1,0}_{J_\tau} \;=\; 0,$$

at p thanks to (4.7). Taking a covariant derivative of the identity

$$\dot J_\tau J_\tau + J_\tau\dot J_\tau = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\nabla_{g_\tau}\dot J_\tau J_\tau + \dot J_\tau\nabla_{g_\tau}J_\tau + \nabla_{g_\tau}J_\tau\dot J_\tau + J_\tau\nabla_{g_\tau}\dot J_\tau = 0,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
2\beta_r\cdot\nabla_{g_\tau,\mu^{1,0}_\tau}\dot J_\tau\cdot\eta^{0,1}_\tau &= 2\beta_r\cdot\left(\nabla_{g_\tau,\mu^{1,0}_\tau}\dot J_\tau\cdot\eta\right)^{1,0}_{J_\tau}\\
&- i\,\beta_r\cdot\left(\dot J_\tau\nabla_{g_\tau,\mu^{1,0}_\tau}J_\tau + \nabla_{g_\tau,\mu^{1,0}_\tau}J_\tau\dot J_\tau\right)\eta\\
&= 2\beta_r\cdot\nabla_{g_\tau,\mu^{1,0}_\tau}\dot J_\tau\cdot\eta - i\beta_r\cdot\dot J_\tau\nabla_{g_\tau,\mu}J_\tau\cdot\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

thanks to (4.4) and the fact that β_r os of type $(1,0)$ with respect to J_τ. We deduce

$$-id\left(\beta_r\cdot\dot J_\tau\right)\left(\eta^{0,1}_\tau,\mu^{1,0}_\tau\right) = i\,\beta_r\cdot\left(\nabla^{1,0}_{g_\tau,J_\tau,\mu}\dot J_\tau - \frac{1}{2}J_\tau\dot J_\tau\nabla_{g_\tau,\mu}J_\tau\right)\eta,$$

thanks to (4.9), and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta^{0,1}_{J_\tau}\neg 2\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=\tau}\left(\overline{\partial}_{J_t}\beta^{1,0}_{r,t}\right) &= -\,i\,\beta_r\cdot N_{J_\tau}\left(\eta,\dot J_\tau\bullet\right)\\
&+ i\,\beta_r\cdot\left(\nabla^{1,0}_{g_\tau,J_\tau}\dot J_\tau - \frac{1}{2}J_\tau\dot J_\tau\nabla_{g_\tau}J_\tau\right)\eta.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Using (4.6) and (4.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2\dot\alpha_\tau(\eta) &= \dot J_\tau\eta.f_\tau\\
&+ 2\Re e\left\{i\beta^{-1}_\tau\sum_{l=1}^{n}\beta_1\wedge\ldots\wedge\left[\eta^{0,1}_{J_\tau}\neg 2\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=\tau}\left(\overline{\partial}_{J_t}\beta^{1,0}_{l,t}\right)\right]\wedge\ldots\wedge\beta_n\right\}\\
&= df_\tau\cdot\dot J_\tau\eta\\
&+ 2\Re e\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}}\left[N_{J_\tau}\left(\eta,\dot J_\tau\bullet\right) - \left(\nabla^{1,0}_{g_\tau,J_\tau}\dot J_\tau - \frac{1}{2}J_\tau\dot J_\tau\nabla_{g_\tau}J_\tau\right)\eta\right]\\
&= df_\tau\cdot\dot J_\tau\eta \;+\; \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}}\left[N_{J_\tau}\left(\eta,\dot J_\tau\bullet\right) - \left(\nabla_{g_\tau}\dot J_\tau - \frac{1}{2}J_\tau\dot J_\tau\nabla_{g_\tau}J_\tau\right)\eta\right].
\end{aligned}$$

We show now the identity

$$2\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}}\left[N_{J_\tau}\left(\eta,\dot{J}_\tau\bullet\right)\right] \;=\; \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(J_\tau\dot{J}_\tau\nabla_{g_\tau}J_\tau\cdot\eta\right). \tag{4.11}$$

Indeed, let $(e_k)_{k=1}^{2n}\subset T_{X,p}$ be a $g_\tau(p)$-orthonormal basis. Using (4.3), (4.4) and the symmetry assumption $\dot{J}_\tau=(\dot{J}_\tau)^T_{g_\tau}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2g\left(e_k,N_{J_\tau}\left(\eta,\dot{J}_\tau e_k\right)\right) &= g\left(\nabla_{g_\tau,J_\tau e_k}J_\tau\cdot\eta,\dot{J}_\tau e_k\right)\\ &= -g\left(\dot{J}_\tau J_\tau\nabla_{g_\tau,e_k}J_\tau\cdot\eta,e_k\right)\\ &= g\left(J_\tau\dot{J}_\tau\nabla_{g_\tau,e_k}J_\tau\cdot\eta,e_k\right), \end{aligned}$$

and thus the required identity (4.11). We infer the formula

$$-2\dot{\alpha}_\tau(\eta) \;=\; \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\nabla_{g_\tau}\dot{J}_\tau\cdot\eta-J_\tau\dot{J}_\tau\nabla_{g_\tau}J_\tau\cdot\eta\right)-df_\tau\cdot\dot{J}_\tau\eta,$$

over U. We show now the identity

$$g_\tau\left(J_\tau\,\mathrm{Tr}_{g_\tau}\left(\nabla_{g_\tau}J_\tau\dot{J}_\tau\right),\eta\right) \;=\; \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(J_\tau\dot{J}_\tau\nabla_{g_\tau}J_\tau\cdot\eta\right). \tag{4.12}$$

Indeed using the symmetry identities $\dot{J}_\tau=(\dot{J}_\tau)^T_{g_\tau}$, $J_\tau=-\left(J_\tau\right)^T_{g_\tau}$ and $\nabla_{g_\tau,\xi}J_\tau=-\left(\nabla_{g_\tau,\xi}J_\tau\right)^T_{g_\tau}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_\tau\left(J_\tau\nabla_{g_\tau,e_k}J_\tau\dot{J}_\tau e_k,\eta\right) &= g_\tau\left(e_k,\dot{J}_\tau\nabla_{g_\tau,e_k}J_\tau J_\tau\eta\right)\\ &= g_\tau\left(e_k,J_\tau\dot{J}_\tau\nabla_{g_\tau,e_k}J_\tau\eta\right), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the required identity (4.12). Using once again the symmetry identities $\dot{J}_\tau=(\dot{J}_\tau)^T_{g_\tau}$ and $\nabla_{g_\tau,\xi}\dot{J}_\tau=(\nabla_{g_\tau,\xi}\dot{J}_\tau)^T_{g_\tau}$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} 2\dot{\alpha}_\tau &= \left[\nabla^{*\Omega}_{g_\tau}\dot{J}_\tau+J_\tau\,\mathrm{Tr}_{g_\tau}\left(\nabla_{g_\tau}J_\tau\dot{J}_\tau\right)\right]\neg g_\tau\\ &= J_\tau\nabla^{*\Omega}_{g_\tau}\left(J_\tau\dot{J}_\tau\right)\neg g_\tau, \end{aligned}$$

over U. We conclude the required variation formula for arbitrary time t, in the case of constant volume form. In the case of variable volume forms, we fix an arbitrary time τ and we time derive at $t=\tau$ the decomposition

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{J_t}(\Omega_t) \;=\; \mathrm{Ric}_{J_t}(\Omega_\tau)-dd^c_{J_t}\log\frac{\Omega_t}{\Omega_\tau}.$$

We obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=\tau}\mathrm{Ric}_{J_t}(\Omega_t) \;=\; \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=\tau}\mathrm{Ric}_{J_t}(\Omega_\tau)-dd^c_{J_\tau}\dot{\Omega}^*_\tau,$$

and thus

$$2\frac{d}{dt}\,\mathrm{Ric}_{J_t}(\Omega_t) = d\left\{\Big[\,\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t}\left(J_t\dot{J}_t\right) - \nabla_{g_t}\dot{\Omega}^*_t\Big]\neg\,\omega_t\right\},$$

thanks to the variation formula for the fixed volume form case. The conclusion follows from Cartan's identity for the Lie derivative of differential forms. □

We infer the following corollary.

Corollary 1 *Let ω be a symplectic form and let $(J_t,\Omega_t)_t \subset \mathcal{J}^{\mathrm{ac}}_\omega \times \mathcal{V}$ be an arbitrary smooth family. Then holds the variation formula*

$$2\frac{d}{dt}\,\mathrm{Ric}_{J_t}(\Omega_t) \quad = \quad -L_{\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t}\dot{g}^*_t + \nabla_{g_t}\dot{\Omega}^*_t}\,\omega,$$

with $g_t := -\omega J_t$.

Proof We have $\dot{g}^*_t = -J_t\dot{J}_t$ and thus the property $\dot{J}_t = (\dot{J}_t)^T_{g_t}$, which allows to apply (4.1). □

Combining lemma 1, proposition 1 and corollary 1, we deduce the main theorem 1.

5 The decomposition of the Bakry-Emery-Ricci tensor

We compare first the Riemannian Ricci tensor with the ω-Chern-Ricci tensor.

Lemma 2 *Let (X,J,g) be an almost Kähler manifold with symplectic form $\omega := gJ$. Then holds the identities*

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{_J}(\omega)(\xi,J\eta) = \mathrm{Ric}(g)\,(\xi,\eta) + \frac{1}{4}\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\nabla_{g,\xi}J\cdot\nabla_{g,\eta}J\right), \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{_J}(\omega) \in C^\infty\left(X, \Lambda^{1,1}_J T^*_X \cap \Lambda^2_{\mathbb{R}} T^*_X\right) \cap \mathrm{Ker}\,d. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof Using formula (4.5) and the standard Curvature identity

$$\left(\nabla_{g,\xi}\nabla_{g,\eta} - \nabla_{g,\eta}\nabla_{g,\xi} - \nabla_{g,[\xi,\eta]}\right)\mu = \mathcal{R}_g\,(\xi,\eta)\,\mu,$$

and a similar one for the Chern curvature $\mathcal{C}_\omega\,(T_{X,J})$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{C}_\omega\,(T_{X,J})\,(\xi,\eta)\,\mu = \mathcal{R}_g\,(\xi,\eta)\,\mu - \frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla_{g,\xi}J\cdot\nabla_{g,\eta}J - \nabla_{g,\eta}J\cdot\nabla_{g,\xi}J\right)\mu. \tag{5.3}$$

This formula combined with the identity

$$\left[\mathcal{C}_\omega\,(T_{X,J})\,(\xi,\eta)\,, J\right] = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

implies

$$[\mathcal{R}_g(\xi,\eta), J] = 0. \tag{5.5}$$

The identity $\mathrm{Ric}_J(\omega) = \mathrm{Ric}_J(\omega^n)$ and the local expression of $\mathrm{Ric}_J(\omega^n)$, imply that the ω-Chern-Ricci form is real (and d-closed). This, combined with the identity (5.4), provides

$$\mathrm{Ric}_J(\omega)(\xi, J\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}}\left[J\mathcal{C}_\omega(T_{X,J})(\xi, J\eta)\right].$$

Let now $(e_k)_{k=1}^n \subset T_{X,p}$ be a $\omega(p)$-orthonormal and $J(p)$-complex basis. Then using (5.4) we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ric}_J(\omega)(\xi, J\eta) &= \sum_{k=1}^n g\left(J\mathcal{C}_\omega(T_{X,J})(\xi, J\eta)e_k, e_k\right)\\ &= -\sum_{k=1}^n g\left(\mathcal{C}_\omega(T_{X,J})(\xi, J\eta)e_k, Je_k\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using formula (5.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ric}_J(\omega)(\xi, J\eta) &= -\sum_{k=1}^n g\left(\mathcal{R}_g(\xi, J\eta)e_k, Je_k\right)\\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\sum_{k=1}^n g\left((\nabla_{g,\xi}J\cdot\nabla_{g,J\eta}J - \nabla_{g,J\eta}J\cdot\nabla_{g,\xi}J)e_k, Je_k\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using the first Bianchi identity and the identities (4.4), (5.5), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ric}_J(\omega)(\xi, J\eta) &= \sum_{k=1}^n g\left(\mathcal{R}_g(J\eta, e_k)\xi + \mathcal{R}_g(e_k,\xi)J\eta, Je_k\right)\\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\sum_{k=1}^n g\left((\nabla_{g,\xi}J\cdot\nabla_{g,\eta}J + \nabla_{g,\eta}J\cdot\nabla_{g,\xi}J)e_k, e_k\right)\\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left[R_g(J\eta, e_k, Je_k, \xi) + g\left(\mathcal{R}_g(e_k,\xi)\eta, e_k\right)\right]\\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\nabla_{g,\xi}J\cdot\nabla_{g,\eta}J\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $R_g \in C^\infty\left(X, S^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\Lambda^2_{\mathbb{R}} T^*_X)\right)$ is the Riemannian curvature form. Using its symmetry properties we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_g\left(J\eta, e_k, Je_k, \xi\right) &= R_g\left(Je_k, \xi, J\eta, e_k\right)\\
&= -R_g\left(Je_k, \xi, e_k, J\eta\right)\\
&= -g\left(\mathcal{R}_g\left(Je_k, \xi\right) J\eta, e_k\right)\\
&= g\left(\mathcal{R}_g\left(Je_k, \xi\right) \eta, Je_k\right),
\end{aligned}$$

thanks to the identity (5.5). We conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}_{_J}(\omega)\left(\xi, J\eta\right) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left[g\left(\mathcal{R}_g\left(Je_k, \xi\right)\eta, Je_k\right) + g\left(\mathcal{R}_g\left(e_k, \xi\right)\eta, e_k\right)\right]\\
&+ \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{Tr}_{_\mathbb{R}}\left(\nabla_{g,\xi} J \cdot \nabla_{g,\eta} J\right),
\end{aligned}$$

ie the formula (5.1). In order to show (5.2), we consider the bilinear forms φ' and φ'' defined as,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi'\left(\xi, \eta\right) &:= \operatorname{Ric}_{_J}(\omega)'_{_J}\left(\xi, J\eta\right),\\
\varphi''\left(\xi, \eta\right) &:= \operatorname{Ric}_{_J}(\omega)''_{_J}\left(\xi, J\eta\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{Ric}_{_J}(\omega)'_{_J}$ and $\operatorname{Ric}_{_J}(\omega)''_{_J}$ are respectively the J-invariant and skew J-invariant parts of $\operatorname{Ric}_{_J}(\omega)$. We notice the elementary identities

$$\varphi'\left(\xi, \eta\right) = -\operatorname{Ric}_{_J}(\omega)'_{_J}\left(J\xi, \eta\right) = \operatorname{Ric}_{_J}(\omega)'_{_J}\left(\eta, J\xi\right) = \varphi'\left(\eta, \xi\right),$$

and

$$\varphi''\left(\xi, \eta\right) = \operatorname{Ric}_{_J}(\omega)''_{_J}\left(J\xi, \eta\right) = -\operatorname{Ric}_{_J}(\omega)''_{_J}\left(\eta, J\xi\right) = -\varphi''\left(\eta, \xi\right).$$

The formula (5.1) shows that $\varphi' + \varphi''$ is a symmetric form. We conclude $\varphi'' = 0$ and thus the required identity (5.2). □

Let $\Omega > 0$ be a smooth volume form over an oriented Riemannian manifold (X, g). We define the Ω-Bakry-Emery-Ricci tensor of g as

$$\operatorname{Ric}_g(\Omega) := \operatorname{Ric}(g) + \nabla_g d \log \frac{dV_g}{\Omega}.$$

We set for notations simplicity

$$\left[\operatorname{Tr}_{_\mathbb{R}}\left(\nabla_{g,\bullet} J \cdot \nabla_{g,\bullet} J\right)\right]\left(\xi, \eta\right) := \operatorname{Tr}_{_\mathbb{R}}\left(\nabla_{g,\xi} J \cdot \nabla_{g,\eta} J\right).$$

Lemma 3 *Let (X, J, g) be an almost Kähler manifold with symplectic form $\omega := gJ$ and let $\Omega > 0$ be a smooth volume form. Then hold the decomposition formula*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ric}_g(\Omega) &= -\operatorname{Ric}_{_J}(\Omega)J - \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\nabla_{g,\bullet}J \cdot \nabla_{g,\bullet}J\right) \\ &+ \; g\left(\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}}\nabla_g \log\frac{dV_g}{\Omega} - \nabla_g \log\frac{dV_g}{\Omega} \neg N_{_J}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Proof This formula follows directly from the identity (5.1) and the decomposition formula

$$\nabla_g df = -\, dd^c_{_J} f \cdot J + g\left(\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}}\nabla_g f - \nabla_g f \neg N_{_J}\right), \tag{5.7}$$

for all twice differentiable function f. The latter follows from a straightforward modification of the proof of lemma 29 in [Pal4]. □

Remark 1 Notice that in the set up of theorem 1, in the Fano case $\omega = \rho$, the decomposition $\omega = \operatorname{Ric}_{_{J_t}}(\omega) + dd^c_{_{J_t}} f_t$ and the fact that $\operatorname{Ric}_{_{J_t}}(\omega)$ is of type $(1,1)$ with respect to J_t implies also that $dd^c_{_{J_t}} f_t$ is of type $(1,1)$ with respect to J_t. Then the general identity (see [Pal1]),

$$dd^c_{_J} u \;=\; i\partial_{_J}\overline{\partial}_{_J} u - i\overline{\partial}_{_J} u \cdot \bar{\tau}_{_J} + i\partial_{_J} u \cdot \tau_{_J}, \tag{5.8}$$

with $\tau_{_J}$ the torsion of the almost complex structure J, implies $dd^c_{_{J_t}} f_t = i\partial_{_{J_t}}\overline{\partial}_{_{J_t}} f_t$ for bidegree reasons. Notice also that for any $\xi, \eta \in T_X$, the identity (5.8) rewrites as

$$\begin{aligned} dd^c_{_J} u\,(\xi,\eta) &= i\partial_{_J}\overline{\partial}_{_J} u\,(\xi,\eta) - \omega\left(\nabla^{1,0}_{g,J} u, \overline{\tau_{_J}(\xi,\eta)}\right) - \omega\left(\nabla^{0,1}_{g,J} u, \tau_{_J}(\xi,\eta)\right) \\ &= i\partial_{_J}\overline{\partial}_{_J} u\,(\xi,\eta) - \omega\left(\nabla^{1,0}_{g,J} u, N_{_J}(\xi,\eta)\right) - \omega\left(\nabla^{0,1}_{g,J} u, N_{_J}(\xi,\eta)\right) \\ &= i\partial_{_J}\overline{\partial}_{_J} u\,(\xi,\eta) - \omega\left(\nabla_g u, N_{_J}(\xi,\eta)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We deduce in our case $df_t \cdot N_{_{J_t}} \equiv 0$. Furthermore the closed condition $\overline{\theta}_{_J}\omega = 0$, (we use here the decomposition $d = \partial_{_J} + \overline{\partial}_{_J} - \theta_{_J} - \overline{\theta}_{_J}$), rewrites as

$$\omega\left(N_{_J}(\xi,\eta), \mu\right) + \omega\left(N_{_J}(\eta,\mu), \xi\right) + \omega\left(N_{_J}(\mu,\xi), \eta\right) \;=\; 0,$$

for all $\xi, \eta, \mu \in T_X$. This implies in our case

$$\omega\left(N_{_{J_t}}(\nabla_{g_t} f_t, \xi), \eta\right) \;=\; \omega\left(N_{_{J_t}}(\nabla_{g_t} f_t, \eta), \xi\right),$$

and also

$$g_t\left(N_{_{J_t}}(\nabla_{g_t} f_t, \xi), J_t\eta\right) \;=\; g_t\left(N_{_{J_t}}(\nabla_{g_t} f_t, J_t\eta), \xi\right).$$

We infer $\nabla_{g_t} f_t \neg N_{J_t} = \left(\nabla_{g_t} f_t \neg N_{J_t}\right)^T_{g_t}$. This combined with the identity

$$\nabla_{g_t} df_t = -\, i\partial_{J_t}\overline{\partial}_{J_t} f_t \cdot J_t + g_t \left(\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}} \nabla_{g_t} f_t - \nabla_{g_t} f_t \neg N_{J_t}\right),$$

(which holds thanks to the fact that, in our case, $dd^c_{J_t} f_t = i\partial_{J_t}\overline{\partial}_{J_t} f_t$), implies the symmetry identity

$$\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}} \nabla_{g_t} f_t \quad = \quad \left(\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}} \nabla_{g_t} f_t\right)^T_{g_t}.$$

along the G-geodesics in the statement of theorem 1, in the Fano case $\omega = \rho$.

We notice also that in this set-up, the decomposition formula (5.6) implies, for J-invariance reasons, that if a point $(g, \Omega) \in \mathrm{Exp}_G(\mathbb{F}^{J_0}_{g_0,\Omega_0})$ satisfies the shrinking Ricci soliton equation $g = \mathrm{Ric}_g(\Omega)$, then (J, g) is a Kähler-Ricci soliton.

References

[Pal1] Pali, N., *Plurisubharmonic functions and positive $(1,1)$-currents over almost complex manifolds*, Manuscripta Mathematica, volume 118, (2005) issue 3, pp. 311 - 337.

[Pal2] Pali, N., *The Chern connection of the tangent bundle of almost complex manifolds*, New York J. Math. 11 (2005), 597-634.

[Pal3] Pali, N., *The total second variation of Perelman's $\mathcal{W}$-functional*, arXiv:1201.0969v1. (2012), Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 50 (2014), no. 1-2, 115144.

[Pal4] Pali, N., *The Soliton-Kähler-Ricci Flow over Fano Manifolds*, New York J. Math. 20 (2014), 845-919

[Pal5] Pali, N., *Variation formulas for the complex components of the Bakry-Emery-Ricci endomorphism*, arXiv:1406.0805, (2014), Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 60 (2015), no. 5, 635-667.

[Pal6] Pali, N., *The Soliton-Ricci Flow vith variable volume forms*, arXiv:1406.0806 (2014).

[Pal7] Pali, N., *On complex deformations of Kähler-Ricci solitons*, arXiv (2015).

[Pal8] Pali, N., *The stability of the Soliton-Kähler-Ricci flow*, in preparation.

[Per] Perelman, G., *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, arXiv:math/0211159.